The new t_REAL format

B. Allombert

IMB CNRS/Université Bordeaux 1

27/01/2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The current <code>t_REAL</code> format The new <code>t_REAL</code> format

Compatibility issue



Motivation for a new format

In this talk we will always assume a 64bit platform. We want to address two limitations of the traditional format :

- The precision of a t_REAL is multiple of 64bit, instead of a number of bits.
- The real significand word order is different for the integer word order (with the GMP kernel).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

-The current t_REAL format

Lignes directrices

Motivation The current t_REAL format The new t_REAL format

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Compatibility issue

- The current t_REAL format

```
The current t_REAL format
```

```
[ code_1 ] [ code_2 ] [ man_1 ] ... [ man_k ]
```

- code_1 : type and total length /
- code_2 : sign s and exposant e
- man_1...man_k : significand, normalized, 64k bits, most significant word first.

The accuracy in bit is $p = (l - 2) \times 64$. The number represented is $x = sM \times 2^{e-1-64k}$.

-The new t_REAL format

Lignes directrices

Motivation The current t_REAL format The new t_REAL format

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Compatibility issue

-The new t_REAL format

The new t_REAL format

```
[ code_1 ] [ code_2 ] [ man_1 ] ... [ man_k ]
```

- code_1 : type and total length
- code_2 : sign s, "rough exposant" E and "lost precision" P.
- man_1...man_k : significand, not normalized, same word order as for integers.

If *p* is the accuracy, in bit, then the total length is $I = \frac{p+126}{64}$, rounded down.

- The new t_REAL format

The significand

The bits $man_1...man_k$ are interpreted as the unnormalized mantissa of an integer *M*. We require the lowest *P* bit of *N* to be zero.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

The accuracy is the exponent of *M*, minus *P*.

The number represented is $x = sM2^{64 \times (E+1-k)}$.

- Compatibility issue

Compatibility issue

The main compatibility issue is that now, the prec parameter to functions will be in bits instead of length. For example

GEN gexp(GEN x, long prec)

Now prec is in bit. However as long as gexp only use prec as an argument to other functions, then gexp does not need to be changed !

It is no more possible to use $\tt setlg$ to reduce the precision of a <code>t_REAL</code> . Indeed with GMP, this would remove the most significant bits.

cgetr takes a precision instead of a length.

- Compatibility issue

The intermediary stage

Currently PARI is in an intermediary stage where it needs to work with both formats. So a lot of macros has been introduced that will be removed once the conversion is finished, for example prec2nbits, bit_prec, etc. In the old system, the precision of a t_REAL *x* was obtained by prec=lg(x). Please now use prec=realprec(x).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●