Polylogarithms, multiple zeta values and K-theory using PARI/GP

Herbert Gangl

Durham University

Atelier Grenoble 2016, 15.1.16

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Motivation: Dirichlet's Class Number Formula

Dirichlet's CNF connects classical arithmetic data of F (# field):
1) units 2) class group 3) Dedekind zeta value

"visible" in the residue at the Dedekind zeta function at s = 1 or, via functional eqn, in Taylor expansion around s = 0

$$\zeta_F^*(0) = -\frac{h_F R_F}{w_F} = -\frac{|\mathcal{C}\ell(\mathcal{O}_F)| \ \text{covol}(\text{``log} | \mathcal{O}_F^{\times} | \text{''})}{|\text{roots of unity in } \mathcal{O}_F|}$$

Any *higher analogue* of Dirichlet's CNF should connect "higher arithmetic" data:

1) higher units 2) higher class group 3) Dedekind zeta value at integers m > 1 or rather at 1 - m. Could ask for a formula of type

$$\zeta_F^*(1-m) \stackrel{?}{=} \lambda \frac{|\mathcal{C}\ell_m(\mathcal{O}_F)| \ covol(``\log_m(m\text{-th higher units})'')}{|m\text{-th higher roots of unity for } \mathcal{O}_F|},$$

with λ "simple" and understood (explicit power of π times a rational number).

Generalization: K-groups

Right setting for generalization: "algebraic K-groups" of \mathcal{O}_F , denoted $K_n(\mathcal{O}_F)$ (abelian groups of finite rank). One has 2) $K_0(\mathcal{O}_F) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathcal{C}\ell(\mathcal{O}_F)$ and 1) $K_1(\mathcal{O}_F) = \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$.

and Lichtenbaum's Conjecture asks for a formula

$$\zeta_F^*(1-m) \stackrel{?}{=} \lambda \frac{|K_{2m-2}(\mathcal{O}_F)_{\text{tors}}|}{|K_{2m-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)_{\text{tors}}|} \times \operatorname{covol}(\log_m K_{2m-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)).$$

Problem: $K_{2m-1}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ highly abstract.

Remedy: concrete candidates by Bloch (m = 2), Zagier (m > 2). Experimentally accessible!

E.g. higher unit group for m = 2 is given as a subquotient of Z[F]; crucial map:

$$\partial_2^F : \mathbf{Z}[F] \longrightarrow F^{\times} \otimes F^{\times}$$

 $[x] \mapsto x \otimes (1-x)$

Key object: ker ∂_2^F .

Higher analogs for odd-indexed K-groups

Higher unit group for general m via ker ∂_m^F with crucial map

$$\partial_m^F : \mathbf{Z}[F] \longrightarrow F^{\times} \otimes \cdots \otimes F^{\times} \quad (m \text{ copies})$$
$$[x] \mapsto x \otimes \cdots \otimes x \otimes (1-x)$$

(i.e. like for m = 2 but with tensor factor x repeated m - 1 times). Good fct on ker ∂_m^F : single-valued variant $\mathcal{L}_m(z)$ of m-logarithm

$$Li_m(z) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{z^k}{k^m}, \qquad (|z|<1)$$

(pari notation for $\mathcal{L}_m(z)$ is $\operatorname{polylog}(m, z, 3)$, say) \rightsquigarrow our \log_m ! Evaluating \mathcal{L}_m on ker ∂_m^F gives lattice! (ignore inductive condition) with covolume related to $\zeta_F^*(1-m)$.

Experimental results and conjectures

In many cases (degree + discriminant not too large) get non-zero covolume for small m.

Benefits: 1) can formulate combined Lichtenbaum-Zagier conjecture (again, small *m*), making "missing factor" λ precise; 2) glimpse into spectrum of orders of *even-indexed* K-groups.

Examples: 0. For most fields of small discriminant relative to degree get only boring factors.

1. For *F* of signature [4, 1] and discriminant $-7^4 \cdot 43$ we predict $79 \mid K_6(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

2. For *F* of signature [2, 2] and (modest) discriminant 229² predict two prime factors $131 \cdot 138191 | K_{10}(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

Problem: For m > 4 often non-maximal rank, hence covolume 0. Need to run procedure many times with different parameters. Bottleneck: qflll for 500×1500 matrices with L2-norm 10²⁵⁰.

Proven results

(with **Karim**, around 2000): implemented "Tate's algorithm" in PARI to get *proven* results for K_2 (even its structure) in hundreds of cases. Thus corroborated all except a handful of cases of discriminant > -5000 for imaginary quadratic fields.

Problem: Large prime factors p dividing $|K_2\mathcal{O}_F|$ require understanding of class group of cyclotomic p-extension of F.

(with **Philippe Elbaz-Vincent, C. Soulé**, around 2002): implemented "Voronoi algorithm" originally in PARI; instrumental for proving the longstanding problems of determining $K_5(\mathbf{Z})$ and $K_6(\mathbf{Z})$.

Multiple polylogarithms and multiple zeta values

Generalise polylogs to many parameters ("multiple polylogs"):

$$Li_{m_1,m_2}(z_1,z_2) = \sum_{\substack{k_1 > k_2 > 0}} \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1^{m_1}} \frac{z_2^{k_2}}{k_2^{m_2}}$$

Re-specialise to $z_i = 1$, giving $\zeta(m_1, m_2)$, a "multiple zeta value". These are expected to be transcendental numbers; they constitute an important class of periods with lots of linear relations between them. Now also implemented as zetamult in PARI (Henri, with support from Karim)—beautiful playground for experiments!

Perhaps surprising: there is even a relationship with modular forms (for $SL_2(Z)$), via period polynomials! E.g. cusp form Δ implies

$$28\zeta(9,3) + 150\zeta(7,5) + 168\zeta(5,7) = rac{5197}{691}\zeta(12)$$
 .